To: The Office of the Prosecutor and the Honored Judges of the Pre-Trial Council

From: Todd Glassey, War Crime victim under §8(2)(b)(iv) etc, OTP-CR-336/18

Re: The Status of the United States of America, and its war crimes in partnership with

Rome Statute Signatories

Date: 1-Aug-2018

Amendment to Case File OTP-CR-336/18

Table of Contents

Attachments:	1
Questions posited herein:	2
Q1: Americas Elections (and US6370629 Frauds)	
Creating subsidiary liability	2
The USDC 14-CV-035629/WHA trial court separated the Seven illegally filed and abandoned	l
instances of US6370629 from the release in the Settlement itself	3
Q2: America's Interactions with Rome Statute Nations	3
War Crimes and the US	3
Q3: Does the Status of those relationships bind the US to the Rome Statute?	4
Amended Relief – additional requests for filings before the UN	4

Attachments:

- 1) Letter notifying US Congress of original filing problems (first sent in June of 2014, and resent in Sep2016).
- 2) This letter and brief and UN Article 27(3) concerns brief.
- 3) Third party Responsibilities brief (pertaining to operating the compliance program required in legally using the US6370629 and US6393126 IP in the US).

Questions posited herein:

- 1. American Elections
- 2. America's Interactions with Rome Statute Nations
- 3. Does the Status of those relationships bind the US to the Rome Statute?

Q1: Americas Elections (and US6370629 Frauds)

Americas elections are directly impacted by the effects of the USDC 14-CV-03629?WHA court ruling and its unintended consequences. That being the enforcement of the DEVELOPMENT USE ONLY terms of the US6370629 and US6393126 Settlements and the mandatory enforcement of those terms against all subsidiary users.

The effects on voting in America are outlined in the attached document sent to House Judiciary and properly served on the Federal Election and Election Assistance Commissions in 2014 and 2016 both. It is provided here to the ICC OTP to understand the actual state of the Nation of America in the case where election frauds impact the totality of the US Election System.

If the elections are void, then what is America today? A bunch of Nuclear Armed Pirates?

Creating subsidiary liability

It has long been standard in the US that third party liability required consent. The ruling from 14-CV-03629/WHA set that aside.

In fact the USDC 14-CV-03629/WHA refused to set aside the subsidiary liability for Apple, Microsoft, Google, Facebook and all other defendants therein. Likewise, they accepted that liability by not objecting or filing any paper to retain standing to object.

This bound all parties using the US636029 and US6393126 IP in products and derivative patent filings to the terms of the Settlement in the US. The same is true for ANY NATION THE US HAS JOINT ENFORCEMENT TREATIES WITH.

The trial court itself also set aside the previous ruling from the 9th Circuit Appellate DIX v SHASTA COUNTY (from 1999) with its ruling, and the 9th Circuit in affirming that ruling in 14-CV-03629/WHA altered its own ruling in the Dix v Shasta matter from 19992.

This created subsidiary liability for all parties requiring their compliance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement as stated. That subsidiary enforcement mandate now controls all uses in any nation where a joint enforcement treat and MLAT exists. (see "Third Party Responsibilities" one pager on implementing the California Law Mandate Program for any and all uses including Weapons and related systems).

The USDC 14-CV-035629/WHA trial court separated the Seven illegally filed and abandoned instances of US6370629 from the release in the Settlement itself

Finally, the USDC 14-CV-03629/WHA matter refused several times to take judicial notice of the existence of the Seven illegally filed and abandoned instances of US6370629 itself, rather ruling mechanically that they did not exist per the terms of the US6370629 Settlement. See Dockets 6, 18, 57, 115, and 116, Second request for judicial notice of illegally filed and abandoned patent instances – 119, 120, 121.

The illegally filed and abandoned instances (appropriated through this fraud in violation of Rome Statute §8(2)(b)(iv) are as follows:

US6370629 Foreign Filing Dates

App/Patent Number	Nation	Filing Date	Authorize Date	Status	Publication Date
AU54015/99	Australia	10/14/99	None	Abandoned	
CA2287596	Canada	10/26/99	None	Abandoned	
EU0997808A3	EU	10/27/99	None	Abandoned	04/23/03
BR9904979A	Brazil	10/29/99	None	Abandoned	12/19/00
ZA9906799	South Africa	10/29/99	5/2000 but never paid for	Abandoned	06/21/00
JP2000-163379	Japan	10/29/99	None	Abandoned	06/16/00
KO2000-0035093	South Korea	10/28/99	None	Abandoned	06/26/00

Because the USDC separated those filings from the terms of the settlement they are in fact War Crimes in each of the above listed nations, all signatories to the Rome Statute.

Q2: America's Interactions with Rome Statute Nations

America is bound to the world as both its Market for sale of Goods and exporter and seller of goods and services globally. Its conduct in any Nation where the Rome Statute binds that nation, makes the US Government itself bound by those requirements for doing business in those Nations.

The US Government has stated it is at war with terror, based on the events of 9/11 and subsequent acts committed by various Rome Statute Nations actors. Any War Crimes happening in those Nations then are controlled by the Rome Statutes Section 8 definitions of War Crimes as well as those in Section 8 and 7.

War Crimes and the US

The US itself is controlled by the UN Definition of the War Crimes statutes which parallel the ICC's Rome Statute Definitions, but it has refused to accept the authority of the ICC against it, itself.

Only the UN Court may find against the US and as a member of the UN Security Council, it has vetoed each and every security resolution against it in perpetuity. Hence based on its actions the US has mechanically set itself above the War Crimes enforcement process.

Q3: Does the Status of those relationships bind the US to the Rome Statute?

America has a number of Mutual Law Enforcement Treaties (MLAT's) and related International Law Enforcement Treaties pertaining to Intellectual Property and Property Rights in all Nations, as well as those controlled by the Rome Statute. It has treaties agreeing that its Corporations and State Actors operating in those Nations will be bound by the Rome Statute and all other treaties pertaining to those property issues. Finally it is a member of the United Nations and the Security Council as one of the five permanent members who is VETO Empowered. And as such it also is bound by the joint resolutions as well as the ICC's abilities of the International Crime Court and its control of the world's use of properties (Real and Intellectual) under Section 8(2)(b)(iv) of the War Crimes definitions.

The question raised herein, is whether that is legal because of the various legal agreements with those nations bound by the Rome Statute itself, and the effects of the USDC 14-CV-03629?WHA ruling and its dual affirmations from 9th Circuit in 14-17574, and DC Cir in 15-01326 affirmations.

The setting Aside of The DIX v SHASTA COUNTY ruling in its application had a direct effect against the US Government itself. If the DIX v SHASTA COUNTY ruling was set aside, and the US Government was a direct party to that ruling, and it did not object to that standing, and its sovereign immunity was lost, then is is by that Derivative Liability Standard itself directly controlled by the Rome Statute one would think.

Amended Relief – additional requests for filings before the UN

As part of the relief petitioned for, we do move the OTP and Pre-Trial Council to formally move the UN's ICJ and its Security Council to review both the effects of this matter as constrained by the effects of Article 27(3) which prevents any of the involved nations from participating therein. This includes the US, UK, and France, as well as the three other EU Nations as elected members of the Security Council.

Finally, the UN ICJ must be brought into this matter formally by the ICC itself to review the war crimes actions of the US, UK, and EU Nations involved in this fraud matter, and the effects their actions have created on the World and its governance processes as administered by the UN today as well. That includes formal service of the Article 27(3) document attached on the UN OSC,

Secretary General, Security Council, UNCITRAL and related bodies in a demand for explanation and relief therein.

//Todd S. Glassey